

**Groover & Associates**Registered Patent Attorneys  
TEL: 972-980-5840 FAX: 972-980-5841**RECEIVED**  
**CENTRAL FAX CENTER**  
**NOV 09 2007**Mailing Address:P.O. Box 802889  
Dallas, Texas 75380Physical Address:One Galleria Tower, Suite 1950  
13355 Noel Road  
Dallas, Texas 75240**FAX COVER SHEET**DATE: November 9, 2007 Total 5 Pages Including This Cover Sheet  
FAX: 571.273.8300  
TO: Examiner Yves Dalencourt  
RE: Application No.  
10/037,688  
FROM: Malcolm Pipes Docket No.: TD-198Certificate of Facsimile

I hereby certify this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to The USPTO via fax number 571.273.8300 on November 9, 2007.

By:

Carol Boultinghouse

**\*\*Additional Notes:**

Please see attached re:

Interview Summary and Request for Interview

Thank you!

The information contained in this facsimile message is attorney privileged and confidential information intended for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this fax in error, please immediately notify us by telephone and return the original message to us at the above address via U.S. postal service. When applicable, this satisfies the notice requirement of RULE 21A of TGE T.R.C.P.

☐ ORIGINAL WILL FOLLOW BY MAIL☒ ORIGINAL WILL NOT FOLLOW

## In the United States Patent and Trademark Office

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

NOV 09 2007

Applicant: Osman Kent, et al  
Application No.: 10/037,688  
Filing Date: 01/04/2002  
Examiner: Dalencourt, Yves  
Title: Image Display System with Visual Server  
Art Unit: 2157  
Docket No.: TD-198

**INTERVIEW SUMMARY AND**  
**REQUEST FOR INTERVIEW**

Commissioner for Patents  
PO Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

A telephone interview was held on November 9, 2007 between Examiner Dalencourt and patent counsel Malcolm Pipes. Mr. Pipes wanted to proceed according to the Interview Request faxed on October 23, 2007, but Examiner Dalencourt was unaware of any such request. On October 23<sup>rd</sup>, counsel faxed an Interview Request at the Examiner's convenience and a draft Response to Non-Final Office Action mailed August 10, 2007. Instead, Examiner Dalencourt indicated that the draft response had been entered into the docketing system as the formal response. He was unaware an interview request had been made.

The interview did not discuss any substantive issues. Because the draft had been entered into the docketing system, the Examiner indicated that a substantive interview could not occur before late December. Examiner Dalencourt acknowledged that the draft was marked as a draft and identified as a draft in the fax transmission.

*Interview Summary – Serial No. 10/037,688*

*Page 1 of 2*

This current status is unacceptable and objected to by counsel. The draft response is a draft and should not be considered. Counsel still desires an interview to discuss the response and allowability, and requests that an interview be scheduled at the earliest time. Further, the draft response should be removed from the official prosecution record.

The Examiner or supervisor is requested to telephone the undersigned attorney or Robert Groover for an interview.

November 9, 2007

Respectfully submitted,



Malcolm Pipes, Reg. No. 46,995  
Attorney for Applicant

Customer Number 29106  
Groover & Holmes  
PO Box 802889  
Dallas, TX 75380  
Tel: 972-980-5840  
• fax: 972-980-5841

**BEST AVAILABLE COPY**